

IN THE CRIMINAL COURT OF SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

DIVISION III

STATE OF TENNESSEE

I

VS.

I

NO. 16645

Murder First Degree

JAMES EARL RAY

I

ORDER

Upon this cause being called for trial on Tuesday, November 12, 1968, with the defendant being present in open Court with his attorneys of record, Messrs. Arthur J. Hanes, Sr., and Arthur J. Hanes, Jr., and the State of Tennessee being represented by the Honorable Phil M. Canale, Jr., District Attorney General for the Fifteenth Judicial Circuit, his Executive Assistant Robert K. Dwyer and his Assistant James C. Beasley, the Court was addressed by Mr. Percy Foreman of the Houston, Texas, Bar as agent of the defendant James Earl Ray, with reference to a clerical motion which he asked the Court to consider before an announcement of ready could be made by the prosecution;

Immediately thereafter Mr. Arthur J. Hanes, Sr., moved the Court to remove his name and that of Arthur J. Hanes, Jr., as attorneys of record for the defendant James Earl Ray in view of a letter from the said James Earl Ray, requesting attorneys Hanes and Hanes do nothing further in the defendant's behalf;

WHEREUPON the Court questioned the defendant James Earl Ray and was advised by said defendant that he had written said letter and wished to terminate the services of Mr. Hanes and employ other counsel.

The State of Tennessee, announcing ready for trial, opposed the motion of Messrs. Hanes to be allowed to withdraw as counsel on the date of trial, said date ~~has~~ been selected

on or about July 21, 1968; opposed the hiring of new counsel insofar as said employment of new counsel being contingent upon the cause being continued for trial; and proposed in the alternative that counsel of record be required to continue in the case and that Mr. Percy Foreman be allowed to enter and associate in the cause so the case could be tried as scheduled.

FROM ALL OF WHICH THE COURT being of the opinion that under the unique facts and circumstances of this case the motion of the defendant to change counsel should be granted and the motion of the defendant for a continuance be granted and further that Messrs. Hanes should only be discharged conditionally from further responsibility in the case.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Messrs. Arthur J. Hanes, Sr., and Arthur J. Hanes, Jr., be discharged from further responsibilities in this cause subject to the following conditions:

- (1) They shall remain counsel of record insofar as they both continue to be bound by the Court's orders on publicity;
- (2) They shall co-operate with Mr. Foreman in making available to him everything disclosed by their investigation in this case and fully acquainting him of their actions in readying the case for trial;
- (3) That Mr. Arthur J. Hanes, Sr., being now under a finding of Contempt by the Court with sentence withheld by the Court shall make an appearance bond in the amount of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) dollars before leaving this jurisdiction.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the trial of this cause be continued until March 3, 1969, and that the transcript of these proceedings be attached to and made a part of this order.

Mr. Haynes noted an exception to the Court's ruling on his conditional discharge.

ENTER this the 12<sup>TH</sup> day of November, 1968, *as and for Nov. 12, 1968 more pro tunc*

C. W. Austin Bette  
J U D G E